# BEFORE THE FEDERAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

In the Matter of:

RJM GLOBAL, INC.,

Respondent.

Docket No. FMCSA-2007-29306<sup>1</sup> (Eastern Service Center)

#### ORDER ON BINDING ARBITRATION

## 1. Background

On June 19, 2007, the Nevada Division Administrator of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) issued a Notice of Claim (NOC) against RJM Global, Inc. (Respondent). Specifically, the NOC, which was based on a May 21, 2007, compliance review, charged Respondent with: (1) one violation of 49 CFR 395.3(a)(2)—requiring or permitting a property-carrying commercial motor vehicle driver to drive after the end of the 14<sup>th</sup> hour after coming on duty—with a proposed civil penalty of \$11,000; and (2) three violations of 49 CFR 395.8(e)—false reports of records of duty status—with a proposed civil penalty of \$760 per violation. The NOC proposed a total civil penalty of \$13,280.

On September 20, 2007, FMCSA's Field Administrator for the Western Service Center (Claimant) filed a Motion for Order of Default requesting that I declare the NOC (including the civil penalty) as the final order in the proceeding because Respondent's reply was so deficient as to constitute no reply at all. By Order of May 11, 2009, this request was denied and it was concluded that this matter should be referred to an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The prior case number of this matter was NV-2007-0028-US0470.

arbitrator.<sup>2</sup> Claimant was provided 15 days to consent to arbitration; without such consent, the matter would be referred to the Office of Hearings. On May 15, 2009. Claimant advised that he consented to referral of this matter for binding arbitration.

#### 2. Procedures

The arbitration process will be conducted pursuant to FMCSA's published guidance on this subject.<sup>3</sup> The parties will work together to select an arbitrator and establish procedures that will govern the binding arbitration. The parties will be contacted by a representative from the Department of Transportation's Center for Alternative Dispute Resolution (CADR), who will answer any questions the parties may have about the process and will assist the parties in choosing an arbitrator.

### a. Options in Choosing the Arbitrator

The parties may choose an arbitrator from the following sources:

- 1. Civilian Board of Contract Appeals Judges or representatives from other government agencies who have been trained in arbitration;
- 2. Uncompensated neutral parties from local communities; or
- 3. Compensated neutral parties from outside the government, whose costs are to be shared by agreement of the parties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The May 11 Order denied Claimant's request for a final order based on the conclusion that Respondent did not contest the violations, did not request administrative adjudication pursuant to 49 CFR 386.14(d), and requested precisely what is required for binding arbitration—a reduction in the civil penalty—despite the fact that Respondent did not use the words "binding arbitration".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Guidance for the Use of Binding Arbitration under the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996, Docket No. FMCSA-2003-14794, 69 Fed. Reg. 10288, March 4, 2004.

The selected arbitrator will assist the parties in developing procedures and preparing an Arbitration Agreement.

### b. Arbitration Format

Respondent may have attorney or non-attorney representation, or it may appear *pro se*, that is, it may represent itself in the arbitration proceedings. With the consent of both parties, the arbitrator may conduct hearings in person or by telephone, video conferencing, or computer.

Each party will present evidence supporting the penalty and terms of payment it considers appropriate. The evidence may not contest whether or not the violations occurred because Respondent has conceded the violations as a condition of arbitration. Neither written submissions nor oral argument may contain any reference to the amount of the civil penalty proposed by the party. At a time specified by the arbitrator, each party will present to the arbitrator and to the opposing party a sealed envelope containing the amount of its total proposed civil penalty and a proposed payment plan. Before opening the envelopes, the arbitrator will determine the appropriate civil penalty and payment plan based upon the evidence presented during the proceeding. The arbitrator will provide his or her determinations in writing to the parties. The arbitrator will then open the envelopes and select the civil penalty and payment plan that is closer to the arbitrator's determinations. The arbitrator has the discretion to select one party's proposed total civil penalty and the other party's proposed payment plan.

#### c. Maximum and Minimum Penalty Amounts

The parties may not propose an amount higher than the amount assessed in the NOC. There is no minimum statutory penalty for the violations.

# d. Limited Appeals

The arbitration award is binding on the parties. Appeals from arbitration awards are generally limited to fraud or misconduct in the proceedings. *See* Federal Arbitration Act, 9 U.S.C. § 10.

It Is So Ordered.

Rose A. McMurray

Assistant Administrator

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

*Lo-19.09*Date

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on this 33 day of 500, 2009, the undersigned mailed or delivered, as specified, the designated number of copies of the foregoing document to the persons listed below.

Bobby Lambert, President One Copy RJM Global, Inc. U.S. Mail

1802 North Carson Street

Suite 212-3345

Carson City, NV 89701

(702) 989-8179

Nancy Jackson, Esq. One Copy Trial Attorney U.S. Mail

Office of Chief Counsel (MC-CCE)

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

Golden Hill Office Center

12600 W. Colfax Ave., Suite B-300

Lakewood, CO 80215

(303) 407-2363

William R. Paden One Copy Field Administrator U.S. Mail

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

12600 W. Colfax Ave., Suite B-300

Lakewood, CO 80215

William E. Bensmiller One Copy Nevada Division Administrator U.S. Mail

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

705 North Plaza Street, Suite 204

Carson City, NV 89701

Fern Feil Kaufman One Copy

Counsel for Dispute Resolution Personal Delivery

U.S. Department of Transportation

Center for Alternative Dispute Resolution

West Building, Room W96-421

1200 New Jersey Avenue, S.E. Washington, D.C. 20590

(202) 385-CADR

Docket Operations U.S. Department of Transportation 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE Room W12-140 Washington, DC 20590 Original Personal Delivery

